Re: SB 16, HB 5416, SB 388, HB 5415, HB 5412

To the Judiciary Committee of the State of CT,

<u>Opposed</u> to SB 16: Both I and the US Constitution stand opposed to any restrictions to our right to defend ourselves. A bill that's title led people to believe it reduces gun and juvenile crimes should never restrict a law-abiding person on how they can defend themselves.

<u>Opposed</u> to **HB 5416:** The restriction to purchasing more than one firearm only restricts those that abide by the law. Criminals who already bypass the background checks will still be able to purchase more than one firearm per month. **THIS DOES NOTHING TO REDUCE GUN CRIMES**

<u>Support</u> SB 388: It is reasonable to assume that a person should be able to defend themselves when a criminal is forcefully entering their home, car, or place of business.

<u>Support</u> HB 5415: If a person is in legal possession of an item, they should be allowed to transfer that item to anyone else legally allow to possess it.

<u>Support</u> HB 5412: As has been proven in instances across this country, the only thing able to stop a bad guy with a gun is a good guy with a gun. Removing the duty to retreat in a house of worship will allow for the good guy to take action when it is needed most and not have to wait the 15 minutes for an office to arrive.

Thank You

Greg Kszywienski

Seymour, CT